

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/096,749

Applicant(s)

KOIDE, SHOHEI

Examiner

Larry R. Helms

Art Unit

1642

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Larry R. Helms.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Viksnins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claims 1 and 40.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Advised Ms. Viksnins that the written description rejection was withdrawn in view of discussions with Brian Stanton (tech spec). Also discussed amendments to the claims to overcome the enablement as the monobody has to have residues in the loop in order to bind. A response will be forthcoming.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required